Lanostanoids of Amentotaxus formosana

Huey-Jen Su,[†] Shiow-Hwa Day,^{†,‡} Sheng-Zehn Yang,[§] Michael Y. Chiang,[⊥] and Chun-Nan Lin^{*,†}

School of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 807, Republic of China, Ta-Jen Institute of Technology, Ping Tung Hsieng, Taiwan 907, Republic of China, Department of Forest Resource, Management and Technology, National Pintung University of Science and Technology, Pintung Hsien, Taiwan 912, Republic of China, and Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 804, Republic of China

Received July 31, 2001

Three lanostanoids, 3β -methoxycycloartan-24(24¹)-ene (1), 3β , 23β -dimethoxycycloartan-24(24¹)-ene (2), and 3β , 23β -dimethoxy- 5α -lanosta- $24(24^{1})$ -ene (**3**), were isolated from the leaves of *Amentotaxus formosana*. The structures of new compounds 2 and 3 were determined by NMR and MS studies, and the structure of **3** was further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Amentotaxus formosana Li (Ametotaxaceae) is an endemic tree of southeastern Taiwan. Phytochemical or bioactive investigations of this plant have not been reported in the literature. Compound 1, a semisynthetic compound obtained from 24-methylene cycloartanol reacted with methyl iodide,¹ was isolated from this plant as a new natural product. In the present paper, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 and the structure elucidation of new lanostanoids 2 and 3 are reported.

Compound **1** was characterized as 3β -methoxycycloartan-24(24¹)-ene (1). The ¹³C NMR assignments of 1 (Table 1) were made by performing ¹H-decoupled, DEPT, and 2D NMR correlation experiments and by comparison with the corresponding data of cycloartanol.²

The HREIMS of 2 indicated a molecular ion peak at m/z 484.4310, which corresponded to molecular formula $C_{33}H_{56}O_2$ IR absorptions were indicative of a C=C double bond (1642 cm⁻¹). The EIMS spectrum of **2** showed significant peaks at m/z 469 [M - Me]⁺, 452 [M - CH₃-OH]⁺, 437 [452 – Me]⁺, 341 [452 – (side chain – CHMe₂)]⁺, 309 $[341 - CH_3OH]^+$. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** showed signals for four tertiary methyl groups and three secondary methyl groups as required by the lanostane skeleton,³ two geminal proton signals of a cyclopropane ring at δ 0.33 (d, J = 4.00 Hz) and 0.56 (d, J = 4.00 Hz), two methine proton signals at 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 10.4, 4.0 Hz, H_{α} -3)¹ and 3.60 (1H, d, J = 10.4 Hz), two methoxy proton signals at δ 3.21 (s) and 3.36 (s), and two olefinic proton signals at δ 4.92 (1H, s) and 4.98 (1H, s). The carbon signals of 2 were almost identical to the corresponding carbon signals of 1 except for C-20-C-27 (Table 1).^{2,4} In addition to the above evidence, the HMBC of H_{α} -3/OMe-3, H_{α} -3/C-29, Me-28/C-3, Me-29/C-3, H-29 /C-4, H-19_{exo}/C-11, H-19_{exo}/C-8, H-19_{endo}/ C-8, H-19 $_{endo}/C$ -10, H-19 $_{endo}/C$ -5, and H-19 $_{endo}/C$ -1 confirmed that the cyclopropane ring and OMe group were linked to the C-9-C-10 bond and C-3, respectively, and H-241/C-25, H-241/C-23, Me-31/C-23, H-23/OMe, and H-23/C-22 confirmed the presence of a $24(24^1)$ double bond and a OMe group linked to C-23 in 2. Correlations between Me-28 and H-19_{endo}, and H-23 and Me-21, in the NOESY experiment confirmed that **2** was 3β , 23β -dimethoxycycloartan-24(24¹)ene (2).⁵ The ¹³C NMR assignments of 2 (Table 1) were made by performing ¹H-decoupled, DEPT, and 2D NMR



1 **ÓMe** Met 2 OMe 16 MeC

3

correlation experiments and by comparison with those of corresponding data of 1.

The HREIMS of 3 indicated a molecular ion peak at m/z 484.4274, which corresponded to molecular formula

10.1021/np010355b CCC: \$22.00 © 2002 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 12/29/2001

[‡] Ta-Jen Institute of Technology.

[§] National Pintung University of Science and Technology.

¹ National Sun Yat-Sen University.

Table 1. ¹³C NMR Data (δ) for **1–3** (CDCl₃)^{*a*}

carbon	1 ^b	2^{b}	3^{b}
1	31.8	31.6	35.6
2	25.5	25.2	22.7
3	88.5	88.3	88.8
4	40.5	40.3	37.1
5	47.7	47.5	51.3
6	21.0	20.7	18.2
7	28.1	28.0	28.3
8	48.0	47.7	134.4
9	20.0	20.0	134.6
10	26.3	26.1	38.9
11	26.0	25.7	21.1
12	32.9	32.8	26.5
13	45.3	45.2	44.7
14	48.8	48.7	49.9
15	35.5	35.3	31.2
16	26.5	26.3	30.8
17	52.3	52.8	51.0
18	18.0	18.0	15.9
19	29.8	29.5	19.2
20	35.0	32.8	33.4
21	19.3	18.0	18.6
22	36.1	42.9	43.2
23	31.3	81.5	81.8
24	157.0	156.4	156.7
24^{1}	105.7	107.2	107.4
25	33.8	29.6	29.9
26	21.9	23.3	22.5
27	22.0	22.3	23.5
28	25.4	25.3	28.0
29	14.8	14.6	16.2
30	19.3	19.1	24.2
3-OMe	57.6	57.4	57.5
23-OMe		56.2	56.3

 a The number of protons directly attached to each carbon was verified by DEPT experiments. b Signals obtained by $^1\mathrm{H}-^1\mathrm{H}$ COSY, HMBC, HMQC, and NOESY techniques.

 $C_{33}H_{56}O_2$. IR absorptions were indicative of a C=C double bond (1643 cm⁻¹). The EIMS spectrum of **3** showed significant peaks at m/z 469 [M - Me]⁺, 452 [M - CH₃-OH]⁺, 437 [452 – Me]⁺, 341 [452 – (side chain – CHMe₂)]⁺, 309 $[341 - CH_3OH]^+$. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** showed signals for five tertiary methyl groups and three secondary methyl groups as required by the lanostane skeleton,³ two methine proton signals at δ 2.67 (1H, dd, J = 10.2, 4.0 Hz, H_{α} -3)¹ and 3.59 (1H, d, J = 10.2 Hz, H-23), two methoxy proton signals at δ 3.20 (3H, s) and 3.36 (3H, s), and two olefinic proton signals at δ 4.91 (1H, s) and 4.97 (1H, s). In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3**, the signals of C-1 to C-19 and C-28 to C-30 were almost identical to the corresponding carbon signals of lanostenol, except for C-2 to C-4 (Table 1),^{6,7} and the signals of C-20 to C-27 were almost identical to the corresponding signals of 2. The chemical shift values of C-3, C-2, and C-4 revealed a downfield shift of 9.8 ppm and an upfield shift of 5.2 and 1.9 ppm (Table 1) compared with those of corresponding data of lanostenol.⁶ It was clear that a methoxy group was located at C-3.8 On the basis of the above results, **3** was characterized as 3β , 23β -dimethoxy- 5α -lanosta- $24(24^{1})$ -ene (3). The ¹³C NMR assignments of 3 (Table 1) were made by performing ¹H-decoupled, DEPT, and 2D NMR correlation experiments and by comparison with those of corresponding data of 2 and lanostenol.^{6,8} The characterization of 3 was further confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1).¹⁰

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points are reported uncorrected. The optical rotations were obtained on a JASCO model DIP-370 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi model 260–30 spectrophotometer. ¹H (400 MHz) and ¹³C (100 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity-400 spectrometer, and MS were obtained on a JMS-HX 100 mass spectrometer.



Figure 1. ORTEP view of 3.

Plant Material. Leaves of *A. formosana* (Amentotaxaceae) were collected at Kaohsiung Hsien, Taiwan, during July 1990. A voucher specimen (9001) is deposited in the laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried leaves (3.1 kg) were extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃ extract was chromatographed on a silica gel column, and elution with cyclohexane– CH_2Cl_2 (9.5:0.5) yielded **1** (5 mg). Elution with cyclohexane– CH_2Cl_2 (7:3) yielded **2** (6 mg) and **3** (20 mg), respectively.

3β-Methoxycycloartan-24(24¹)-ene (**1**): colorless needles (acetone); mp 86–88 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1638 cm⁻¹; [α]²⁵_D 252° (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz), see Table 1; HREIMS *m*/*z* [M]⁺ 454.4166 (calcd for C₃₂H₅₄O₂, 454.4175).

3β, **23β**-Dimethoxycycloartan-24(24¹)-ene (2): colorless oil; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1642 cm⁻¹; [α]²⁵_D 14° (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.33 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-19_{exo}), 0.56 (1H, d, J = 4.0 Hz, H-19_{endo}), 0.79 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.88 (3H, s, Me-30), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-21), 0.95 (3H, s, Me-28), 1.01 (3H, s, Me-18), 1.05 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-26), 1.07 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-27), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 4.4 Hz, H_α-3), 3.21 (3H, s, OMe-23), 3.36 (3H, s, OMe-3), 3.60 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Hα-23), 4.91 (1H, s, H₂-24¹), 4.98 (1H, s, H₂-24¹); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz), see Table 1; EIMS *m/z* 484 [M]⁺ (3), 469 (3), 452 (9), 437 (12), 341 (21), 309 (19), 113 (100); HREIMS *m/z* [M]⁺ 484.4275 (calcd for C₃₃H₅₆O₂, 484.4280).

3*β*, **23***β*-**Dimethoxy**-5α-**lanosta**-**24(24'**)-**ene** (**3**): colorless prisms (CHCl₃-MeOH); mp 176–178 °C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1643 cm⁻¹; [α]²⁵_D 84° (*c* 0.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.73 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.80 (3H, s, Me-29), 0.87 (3H, s, Me-30), 0.94 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-21), 0.99 (3H, s, Me-19, -28), 1.05 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-27), 1.08 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, Me-26), 2.67 (1H, dd, J = 10.2, 4.0 Hz, Hα-3), 3.21(1H, s, OMe-23), 3.36 (1H, s, OMe-3), 3.59 (1H, d, J = 3.59 Hz, H_α-23), 4.92 (1H, s, H-24¹), 4.98 (1H, s, H-24¹); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz), see Table 1; EIMS m/z 484 [M]⁺ (3), 469 (3), 452 (9), 437 (12), 341 (21), 309 (19), 113 (100); HREIMS m/z [M]⁺ 484.4274 (calcd for C₃₂H₅₄O₂, 484.4280).

X-ray Analysis. X-ray crystal analysis was performed with a single crystal (colorless, $0.4 \times 0.52 \times 0.58$ mm) obtained from CHCl₃–MeOH. X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku-AFC7S diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods and expanded with Fourier techniques.⁹ All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically using full-matrix least-squares techniques. All calculations were performed with the TeXsan crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corporation. The crystal data were as follows: C₃₃H₅₆O₂, monoclinic, *P*₂₁ (No. 4): *a* = 7.494(3) Å, *b* = 19.015(3) Å, *c* = 10.758(3) Å, β = 98.2(3)°, and *V* = 1517.3(8) Å³; *Z* = 2; *R* = 5.6%, *R*_w = 5.8% for 2976 independent reflections.¹⁰

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Council and Health Research Institute of the Republic of China (NSC89-2323-B037-004).

References and Notes

- Ritchie, E.; Senior, R. G.; Taylor, W. C. Aust. J. Chem. 1969, 22, 2371–2387.
- (2) Radics, L.; Kajtar-Peredy, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 4287–4290.
 (3) Kubota, T.; Asaka, Y.; Miura, I.; Mori, H. *Helv. Chem. Acta* **1982**, 65, 611–619.
- (4) Tanaka, R.; Takaoka, Y.; Yamaguchi, H.; Matsunaga, S. J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 1753–1757.
- (5) Nes, W. D.; Benson, M.; Lundin, R. E.; Le, P. H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1988, 85, 5759–5763.
- (6) Knight, S. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 83-86.

- (7) Lin, L. J.; Shiao, M. S. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 595-605.
 (8) Biemarnn, K. Tables of Spectra Data for Structure Determination of Organic Compounds, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1983; p C70.
 (9) Beurskens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W. P.; Gelder, R. De; Israel, R.; Smits, J. M. M. DIRDIF 94. The DIRDIF 94 Program System, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory; University of Nijmegen: The Netherlands, 1994.
- (10) Crystallographic data (including structure factor) for 3 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC 173147). Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax +44 (1223) 336033; e-mail deposit@ccdc, cam.ac.uk).

NP010355B